

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application, in view of the above amendments and in light of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-16 are pending in this application. By this amendment Claims 1, 10, 11 and 12 are amended, and Claims 13-16 are added.

Applicants appreciate the courtesies extended to Applicants' representative during the October 5, 2005 personal interview. The substance of the discussions held are incorporated into the amendments and the following remarks and constitute Applicants' record of the interview.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 3-8 and 10-12 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) over U.S. Patent 6,005,600 to Hill, Claim 2 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Hill in view of U.S. Patent 6,845,398 to Galensky et al., and Claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Hill in view of U.S. Patent 6,507,672 to Watkins et al. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

With respect to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) over Hill, Hill does not disclose issuing a request for image data of screens within a predetermined range forward and backward with reference to a notice screen, as in amended independent Claims 1, 10, 11 and 12. As discussed in the specification at page 34, line 11 *et seq.* a request is made for image data within a range forward and backward of a noticed frame. See e.g., Figures 5A-5C. Instead, Hill discloses the control unit 114 requests global frame 20. The buffer manager 118 replies by providing global frame 20 to control unit 114 via cliplist manager 116 and checks the fill level against the request threshold by checking to see if it has frames 21-31. See e.g., Hill at column 7, lines 33-50.

With respect to the rejection of Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hill in view of Galensky and Claim 9 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Hill in view of Watkins, Claims 2 and 9

depend from Claim 1, which as discussed above contains patentable subject matter.

Galensky and Watkins do not provide the deficiencies of Hill.

New Claims 13-16 recite that the image data of screens is requested within a range of 2 minutes forward and backward of the noticed screen. See e.g., specification at page 34 line 11, et seq. Hill merely discloses that the cliplist manager retrieves the requested frame data from the source based on the global frame number.

Dependent Claims 2-9 are patentable for at least the reasons discussed above as well as for the additional features they recite.

Consequently, in view of the present Amendment, no further issues are believed to be pending in the present application, and the present application is believed to be in condition for formal allowance. A Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner deem that any further action is necessary to place this application in even better form for allowance, the Examiner is encouraged to contact the undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



---

Bradley D. Lytle  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number  
**22850**

Tel: (703) 413-3000  
Fax: (703) 413 -2220  
(OSMMN 06/04)  
MB/rac

Michael Britton  
Registration No. 47,260

I:\ATTY\MB\27's\275769US\275769US-AM1A.DOC